ARTIFICIALISM

Authored by

Mohammed looti

November 11, 2025

ARTIFICIALISM: Introduction and Definition

Artificialism, a key concept developed by the Swiss psychologist **Jean Piaget** during his foundational research on childhood cognition, defines a specific mode of causal reasoning observed predominantly in the preoperational stage of development. Fundamentally, artificialism is the assumption that anything that exists in the world, particularly natural phenomena, must have been created, constructed, or manufactured by a conscious, intelligent entity. This creator could be a human being, a powerful mythological figure, or most commonly, God. This framework compels the child to attribute the existence, qualities, behaviors, and movements of objects and systems directly to the will and actions of that responsible conscious agent. It stands as the child's initial attempt to impose order and understand the origins of the surrounding world by generalizing human creative processes to the entire universe.

This orientation means that questions regarding the origin of mountains, rivers, clouds, or celestial bodies are answered through a lens of fabrication and design. For example, a child operating under artificialistic reasoning might conclude that mountains were formed by giant laborers piling up stones, or that lakes exist because someone dug a very large hole and filled it with water. The significance of artificialism lies not just in the answer provided, but in the underlying cognitive mechanism: the inability to conceptualize causality as impersonal, physical, or spontaneous. Because the child understands their own world as being shaped by purposeful human action (toys are made, houses are built), they project this manufacturing model onto the immense scale of nature.

Piaget utilized this term to describe a pervasive tendency where the child struggles to distinguish between psychological and physical causality, effectively collapsing physics into intentionality. The resulting explanation is inherently teleological--everything has a purpose and that purpose was defined by its maker. While this form of reasoning appears illogical to an adult, for the preoperational child whose primary experience of creation involves intentional human intervention, artificialism provides a highly satisfying and coherent explanation for complex phenomena that are otherwise bewildering. This stage is crucial because it represents the first organized attempt at cosmology, moving beyond simple observation to structured, albeit anthropocentric, explanation.

Historical Context and Piaget's Cognitive Stages

Jean Piaget identified artificialism as a hallmark of the preoperational stage, typically spanning ages two through seven years. This stage follows the sensorimotor period and precedes the concrete operational stage, marking a time when symbolic thought and language rapidly develop, yet logical reasoning remains restricted by several cognitive limitations. These limitations, including **egocentrism** and **centration**, are fundamental to the persistence of artificialism. Egocentrism prevents the child from taking perspectives other than their own; thus, they project their own

reality--a reality dominated by intentional actions and human creators--onto the inanimate world. Centration, the tendency to focus on only one salient aspect of a situation while ignoring others, further simplifies causal chains into direct, singular acts of creation.

Piaget's research involved extensive clinical interviewing, asking children detailed questions about the origins of natural objects. Through these systematic inquiries, he observed a predictable pattern of responses that confirmed this reliance on a creator. The developmental trajectory, according to Piaget, shows a shift from absolute, mythological artificialism (creation by powerful figures) to a less absolute, more humanized artificialism (creation by ordinary people, like farmers or builders), before finally yielding to objective, scientific causality during the concrete operational stage. This progression underscores the fact that artificialism is not merely a set of mistaken beliefs, but a necessary developmental structure--a stepping stone toward mature, operational thought.

The persistence of artificialism within the preoperational stage highlights the child's struggle with the concept of spontaneous generation or processes that unfold over vast geological timescales. Since the concept of millions of years of geological erosion or chemical processes is far too abstract for the preoperational mind, the immediate, tangible explanation of design and manufacture by a conscious entity serves as the most accessible cognitive tool. This temporary reliance on artificialism reveals the child's profound need to structure and make sense of their environment, positioning them as active constructors of knowledge, even if that knowledge is initially flawed by anthropomorphism.

Artificialism vs. Animism: A Critical Distinction

While often discussed together and frequently coexisting in the preoperational child's thinking, artificialism must be clearly differentiated from **animism**. Both concepts are manifestations of anthropomorphic thought, yet they address causality through distinct mechanisms. Animism is the belief that inanimate objects possess life, consciousness, feelings, and intentionality--an internal energy or spirit that directs their behavior. For example, a child exhibiting animism might state that the sun moves across the sky because it is happy and wants to follow them, or that a stone is alive because it is hard. The causality is intrinsic; the object acts because of its own innate power.

In stark contrast, artificialism relies entirely on **extrinsic causality**. The object does not act because of an internal spirit, but because its design dictates its function, and that design was imposed by a creator. The river flows not because it wants to, but because a powerful entity dug the channel and gave it a slope. The key difference lies in the source of agency: internal power in animism versus external design and manufacture in artificialism. Piaget noted that the order in which these beliefs appear is sometimes mixed, but they both represent the child's difficulty in separating the realm of human psychology and intentions from the impersonal physical world.

Although distinct, these two concepts demonstrate the pervasive influence of the child's subjective experience on their understanding of reality. They are complementary errors in reasoning: artificialism explains the object's origin (it was made), while animism often explains its immediate action (it moves because it feels like it). As the child matures and begins to grasp objective causality, both artificialism and animism gradually retreat. The decline of artificialism signals the child's nascent understanding that the physical world operates under independent, impersonal laws, paving the way for the development of scientific literacy.

Manifestations of Artificialism in Childhood Thought

Artificialism manifests most clearly when children are asked to explain the origins of large-scale natural phenomena, particularly those that appear immutable or foundational to the environment. The responses invariably reflect a human-centered, fabrication-based explanation. The size and complexity of the object often correspond to the power and scale attributed to the conscious creator, ranging from ordinary human labor to divine intervention. This tendency is a direct consequence of the child's concrete, operational need to anchor abstract concepts like origin and existence in recognizable intentional acts.

One common area of manifestation is meteorological and celestial phenomena. The sun, moon, and stars are frequently described as having been manufactured and placed in the sky, much like decorations or lights. Clouds, for instance, are often explained as being smoke from chimneys, cotton wool placed there by giants, or water poured out by a person. Similarly, the blue color of the sky might be explained as paint applied by a celestial artist. These explanations reflect a basic inability to grasp atmospheric pressure, evaporation, or gravity, substituting these invisible forces with visible, intentional acts of creation.

The following are typical examples of artificialistic explanations gathered through clinical observation:

Mountains: They were made by men or giants piling up stones very high, or by people digging large holes (the resulting earth forming the mountain).

Rivers and Lakes: They were created by someone digging a long channel or a large basin and then filling it with water intentionally.

The Wind: It is caused by a powerful being blowing very hard, or by large fans placed high in the sky.

Stones and Rocks: They are seen as broken pieces of larger, man-made structures or hardened clay that was intentionally molded.

These examples highlight the underlying principle: the child is seeking a mechanism of creation

that mirrors their own constructive play or the observable actions of adults, making the world seem predictable and controllable, even at a cosmic level.

Causal Reasoning and the Role of the Creator

Artificialism is deeply rooted in the child's immature understanding of causality. Preoperational children often confuse correlation with causation and struggle with sequential, non-linear processes. Artificialism offers a direct, singular causal link: A (the creator) acts, which immediately results in B (the object). This intentional causality is simpler and more reassuring than the complex, often invisible, chains of physical causation that govern natural processes. The creator is not just responsible for the object's existence, but also for its precise attributes.

If a mountain is large and strong, its creator must have been immensely powerful and skillful. If the rain falls consistently, it is because the creator meticulously designed the system to release water when needed. This approach to causality means that any fault or change in the natural world must also be attributed to the creator's intention or lack of skill. For instance, an earthquake might be explained as the creator accidentally stumbling or becoming angry. This reasoning links physical events directly to psychological states, a hallmark of preoperational thought that lacks the mechanism to separate mechanical function from emotional or moral intent.

Furthermore, artificialism serves a fundamental psychological need for **teleological explanation**. Children frequently ask "Why?" seeking ultimate purpose rather than just immediate mechanism. Artificialism provides that ultimate purpose: the mountain exists because the creator wanted a high place, or the water exists so that people can drink. This focus on intentional design and ultimate function simplifies the chaotic reality of existence into a story of production and utility, ensuring that everything in the environment has a reason for being there, thereby reducing cognitive dissonance and anxiety about the unknown.

Developmental Trajectory and Decline

The decline of artificialism is a critical marker in the transition from preoperational to concrete operational thought, generally occurring between the ages of seven and eleven. This transition is not sudden but gradual, driven by two primary factors: cognitive maturation (the decrease in egocentrism) and increased exposure to empirical evidence and education. As the child begins to understand the concept of conservation and reversibility--key achievements of the concrete operational stage--they start to grasp that matter and processes can change form without requiring an external creator.

The shift typically moves through phases. Early artificialism is often divine and mythological (God or giants made it). This gives way to humanized artificialism (ordinary people made it). Finally, the child begins to recognize **impersonal causality**, understanding that processes like erosion, plant

growth, or condensation occur spontaneously or through complex, non-intentional interactions of physical laws. For example, the child moves from believing the lake was dug by a man to understanding that rain filled a natural depression, and eventually, to grasping geological processes that form basins.

However, the retreat of artificialism is highly domain-specific. While children quickly abandon artificialistic explanations for simple physical events (like rain), they may retain elements of artificialism when reasoning about the origins of complex biological systems or the universe as a whole (cosmological artificialism). This persistence highlights that deep-seated cognitive structures linking existence to intentional design are robust, often reinforced by cultural narratives or religious instruction, even after the child has mastered objective reasoning in other areas of physics and mechanics. The total abandonment of artificialism signifies the establishment of mature, objective causal reasoning.

Criticisms and Modern Perspectives

While Piaget's framework remains influential, modern cognitive psychology and developmental science have offered several critiques and refinements regarding the universality and timing of artificialism. Critics, including those influenced by **Lev Vygotsky**, argue that the expression and duration of artificialism are heavily mediated by socio-cultural factors, language, and the specific nature of the questions asked. Children in environments that emphasize scientific explanations early might exhibit less overt artificialism than those in cultures where mythological or creationist narratives dominate.

Furthermore, the rise of **Theory of Mind (ToM)** research provides an alternative lens. Artificialism can be viewed as an overextension of a newly acquired social skill: the ability to attribute beliefs, desires, and intentions to others. The child, mastering ToM, applies this intentional framework universally, attributing intentions not just to humans, but to the entire natural world, thus fabricating necessary creators. From this perspective, artificialism is a systematic error resulting from applying a powerful, newly developed social cognitive tool to domains where it does not belong (impersonal physics).

Recent studies suggest that while young children do struggle with non-intentional causality, their reasoning is sometimes more sophisticated than the binary artificialism/animism contrast allows. Children may demonstrate an innate bias toward teleological explanations (everything exists for a reason) that persists into adulthood, especially when dealing with complex or unfamiliar systems. Thus, artificialism might be less a phase that is entirely overcome and more a cognitive default setting--a predisposition toward intentional design--that must be actively overridden by formal scientific instruction.

Pedagogical Implications

Understanding artificialism is highly relevant for educators and parents, particularly in science education. Because the artificialistic mindset provides a coherent, if incorrect, system for explaining the world, simply telling a child their belief is wrong is often ineffective. Instead, pedagogical strategies must leverage the child's existing curiosity and teleological tendencies while gently introducing objective, impersonal causality.

Effective strategies involve:

Acknowledging Intent: Recognizing that the child's explanation (e.g., "God made the rainbow") is an honest attempt to explain a phenomenon using their best available cognitive tools.

Introducing Process over Creation: Shifting the focus from who made it to how it changes. Instead of asking, "Who made the mountain?" ask, "How did the mountain get so tall over time?" This focuses on continuous processes (weathering, erosion) rather than singular creation events.

Utilizing Concrete Demonstrations: Since the child is moving into the concrete operational stage, providing tangible experiments and models (e.g., demonstrating evaporation, building volcanoes) helps establish physical laws as reliable, non-intentional causes.

Bridging the Gap: Using language that helps the child transition. For instance, explaining that while a human makes a cake (intentional), the fire makes the cake rise (impersonal process), introducing the idea of natural forces that act independently of conscious intention.

Ultimately, artificialism serves as an indispensable indicator of the child's cognitive limitations and their active engagement with cosmological questions. By addressing these anthropocentric explanations thoughtfully, educators can successfully guide the child toward adopting the rigorous, impersonal causal models that underpin scientific reasoning.