

# BEREAVEMENT

Authored by  
**Mohammed looti**

October 8, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed looti (2025). *BEREAVEMENT*. Encyclopedia of psychology. Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.arabpsychology.com/?p=12613>

Bereavement: A Psychological Encyclopedia Entry

## The Core Definition of Bereavement

**Bereavement** is the objective state of having suffered a loss, specifically the death of a significant person. While often used interchangeably with the term "grief," bereavement refers strictly to the experience of being deprived of the relationship, whereas **grief** is the internal, subjective, and highly personalized reaction to that loss. This complex phenomenon initiates a profound adaptive process that simultaneously affects the individual's physical health, psychological stability, social identity, and spiritual framework. It is fundamentally a painful, natural, and necessary response to the dissolution of a relational bond, forcing the individual to fundamentally reorganize their world view and sense of self in the absence of the deceased loved one. The process is lengthy, non-linear, and integrates numerous psychological mechanisms designed to navigate the immense emotional and practical shock of absence.

The core mechanism underlying bereavement is the necessity of adaptation to a permanently altered reality. When a deep attachment is severed by death, the psychological system attempts to reconcile the internalized image of the loved one with the external reality of their non-existence, a process that requires substantial cognitive and emotional labor. This adaptation compels the individual to engage in what was historically termed "grief work," though modern perspectives emphasize the concept of continuing bonds--maintaining a psychological connection with the deceased while simultaneously investing energy back into the living world. The bereaved individual must construct a new identity that incorporates the loss, shifting from being a spouse, child, or parent in a complete unit, to being a widow, orphan, or parent who has endured loss, which is a profound developmental task that can take years to integrate fully.

It is crucial to understand bereavement not as a single event, but as a dynamic and enduring process that unfolds over time, often involving waves of intense emotion followed by periods of relative calm or numbness. Unlike acute trauma, which might involve a defined endpoint of recovery, bereavement involves a permanent change that requires cyclical adjustment. The duration and intensity of the process are highly individualized, influenced by factors such as the nature of the relationship, the circumstances of the death (e.g., sudden vs. anticipated), the individual's coping resources, and their surrounding cultural and social support systems. This highlights why generalized timeframes for 'getting over' a loss are rarely helpful or accurate in clinical practice.

## Physiological and Psychological Manifestations

The psychological impact of bereavement is often preceded and accompanied by significant physiological responses, indicative of the high stress load placed upon the body. Common

physiological manifestations include profound changes in sleep patterns (insomnia or hypersomnia), significant alterations in appetite leading to weight fluctuation, chronic fatigue, and a noticeable reduction in overall energy levels. Many bereaved individuals also report experiencing physical somatic complaints, such as headaches, muscular tension, or generalized pain, which are often interpreted as manifestations of increased stress hormones and an activated fight-or-flight response. These physical symptoms underscore the reality that bereavement is not merely an emotional state but a holistic, systemic disruption.

Psychologically, the immediate response is frequently characterized by a sense of denial or disbelief, particularly if the death was sudden or unexpected. This cognitive numbness acts as a temporary buffer, allowing the mind to gradually absorb the reality of the loss. As the reality sets in, intense emotional responses become dominant, frequently manifesting as clinical signs of depression, severe anxiety, and persistent feelings of guilt--often related to perceived failures to prevent the death or unresolved issues within the relationship. The overwhelming feeling of loneliness and yearning for the deceased is a central feature, often accompanied by vivid dreams or hallucinations of the loved one, further complicating the process of emotional adjustment.

Furthermore, cognitive functioning can be significantly impaired during the bereavement period. Many individuals report difficulty concentrating, poor short-term memory, and an almost obsessive preoccupation with thoughts and memories of the deceased, sometimes referred to as "rumination." These impairments can hinder the bereaved person's ability to manage necessary everyday tasks, such as work or household maintenance, contributing further to feelings of helplessness and distress. Differentiating these temporary, grief-related psychological responses from clinical disorders such as Major Depressive Disorder or pathological grief requires careful clinical assessment, particularly if symptoms persist intensely beyond a year.

## The Historical Evolution of Grief Theory

Early conceptualizations of bereavement were heavily influenced by psychoanalytic thought, primarily originating with **Sigmund Freud's** 1917 essay, "Mourning and Melancholia." Freud viewed mourning as a necessary, painful process of withdrawing libidinal energy (or investment) from the lost object. The successful completion of this "grief work" meant severing the internal bond with the deceased, thereby freeing the ego to attach to new relationships. This early model established the foundational idea that active engagement with the pain was essential, but it placed heavy emphasis on ultimate detachment, a view that has since been modified by later theories that prioritize the continuation of the bond.

A significant theoretical turning point occurred with the development of **Attachment Theory** by John Bowlby in the mid-20th century. Bowlby reframed grief not as a process of detaching energy, but as a reaction to separation from an attachment figure, viewing the intense pain, searching, and

anger as instinctual responses to the disruption of a crucial survival bond. Bowlby's work shifted the focus from psychoanalytic energy dynamics to the observable behaviors of seeking and protesting loss, suggesting that the goal of successful grieving was not to forget the person, but to reorganize the internal working model of the self in relation to the world without the attachment figure physically present.

The popularization of stage models, particularly the five stages (denial, anger, bargaining, depression, acceptance) introduced by Elisabeth Kübler-Ross in relation to facing one's own death, profoundly influenced public perception of bereavement. Although these stages provided a simple framework, they were often misapplied to suggest that grief follows a neat, linear progression. Contemporary psychological research has largely moved away from rigid stage models, recognizing that the grief experience is highly fluid, cyclical, and involves oscillation between various emotional states, often revisiting initial feelings of shock or pain years after the loss occurred.

### **Social and Spiritual Dimensions of Loss**

The social dimension of bereavement involves the interaction between the grieving individual and their communal environment. The death of a loved one invariably disrupts the individual's established social roles and support networks. A widow, for instance, loses not only a partner but also the identity tied to being part of a couple, requiring them to renegotiate their place in family and social circles. This renegotiation can lead to a sense of profound isolation, especially if the social support system, due to discomfort or inability to understand the depth of the pain, withdraws or minimizes the loss. This isolation can exacerbate feelings of loneliness and despair, demonstrating that bereavement is inherently a social phenomenon requiring collective recognition and support.

Spiritually, bereavement often triggers a crisis of meaning and faith. The individual may grapple with existential questions regarding the fairness of life, the nature of death, and their own belief system. This spiritual struggle can manifest as profound anger directed at a higher power, feelings of guilt over metaphysical issues, or a sense of emptiness and loss of purpose, particularly if the deceased played a central role in defining the survivor's meaning in life. Successfully navigating the spiritual aspect often involves reconstructing a coherent worldview that incorporates the reality of the loss, allowing the individual to find new meaning and purpose beyond the immediate tragedy.

Cultural rituals and societal practices surrounding death--such as funerals, wakes, and memorial services--are integral to validating the social and spiritual reality of the loss. These rituals provide a formalized structure that allows the bereaved to publicly express their mourning, receive sanctioned social support, and begin the process of transitioning into their new social role. When

cultural or religious rituals are absent or disrupted (as seen during global crises), the bereavement process can be significantly complicated, as the lack of public recognition impedes the necessary psychological and communal acknowledgement of the change.

### Applying Theory: A Practical Scenario of Adaptation

Consider the practical scenario of a 65-year-old man, George, whose wife of 40 years, Susan, passes away suddenly from a heart attack. In the immediate aftermath, George experiences intense physiological and cognitive shock: he cannot sleep, forgets simple tasks, and repeatedly finds himself reaching for Susan's hand in bed. Psychologically, George is locked in disbelief, constantly searching the house for her and mentally replaying the day of her death, demonstrating the classic searching behavior predicted by Attachment Theory. This initial phase is dominated by the intense emotional pain and yearning, consistent with the Loss-Orientation component of modern grief models.

As the weeks progress, George is forced into the Restoration-Orientation phase. Susan had managed all the household finances, bills, and complex medical appointments. George must now learn these tasks, forcing him to shift his focus away from the immediate pain to manage the practical demands of survival. For example, he must spend hours organizing paperwork and learning how to pay bills online, tasks that are frustrating and exhausting but necessary for adaptation. This oscillation--moving from a morning spent weeping over old photographs (Loss-Orientation) to an afternoon spent calling the bank (Restoration-Orientation)--illustrates the active coping required in bereavement.

The successful application of bereavement principles suggests that George should not be expected to permanently suppress his grief to focus solely on practical tasks, nor should he be allowed to become completely engulfed by his sorrow. Instead, adaptive coping involves the ability to fluidly oscillate between these two sets of stressors. He might find that by engaging in a restoration task, such as joining a walking group, he gains temporary respite from the emotional pain, which paradoxically allows him to return to confronting his sorrow with renewed, albeit minimal, energy. Over time, the intensity of the oscillation lessens, and the memory of Susan shifts from triggering acute distress to becoming an integrated part of his ongoing life story.

### Significance in Clinical Psychology and Intervention

The study of bereavement holds immense significance for clinical psychology because it involves navigating the delicate boundary between a normal, albeit painful, human experience and a potentially pathological condition. Clinicians must accurately distinguish between typical, acute grief--which includes symptoms like despair and functional impairment--and conditions such as **Prolonged Grief Disorder** (PGD), a recognized diagnosis characterized by persistent, pervasive

yearning, and significant functional impairment lasting typically beyond 12 months post-loss. This distinction is critical for determining when therapeutic intervention is necessary beyond general support.

Several structured interventions are utilized to support individuals through complex bereavement. **Grief Counseling** typically focuses on normalizing the individual's emotional response, providing emotional validation, and facilitating the expression of painful feelings. In contrast, **Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT)** techniques may be employed to challenge maladaptive coping mechanisms or negative thought patterns that sustain distress, such as excessive self-blame or catastrophic thinking about the future. Furthermore, **Group Therapy** offers a powerful supportive environment where individuals can share their experiences with others undergoing similar losses, reducing feelings of isolation and providing vital social validation.

Modern clinical approaches emphasize meaning-making and integration rather than the historical goal of achieving "closure" or "recovery." The therapeutic focus is often centered on helping the bereaved individual find a way to maintain a healthy, internal relationship with the deceased--the continuing bond--while simultaneously reinvesting in their present life. This involves reconstructing a narrative where the loss is integrated into the individual's life story, ensuring that the deceased's significance remains without overwhelming the survivor's capacity to function and pursue new goals.

### **Models of Coping: Dual Process and Meaning-Making**

One of the most influential contemporary frameworks is the Dual Process Model (DPM) of Coping with Bereavement, developed by Margaret Stroebe and Henk Schut. The DPM posits that adaptive grieving involves oscillation between two fundamental types of stressors. The first, **Loss-Oriented**, involves confronting and processing the emotional pain, sadness, denial, and yearning directly associated with the death itself. This includes activities like reflecting on memories, crying, and acknowledging the absence. The second, Restoration-Oriented, involves dealing with the secondary stressors and life changes necessitated by the loss, such as adapting to new roles, managing finances, and engaging in new activities or relationships.

The DPM suggests that healthy coping is achieved not by focusing solely on one orientation, but by the ability to move flexibly between them. This oscillation allows the bereaved individual essential "time off" from the intense emotional labor of grief. For example, focusing on a restoration task, such as planning a trip, provides an emotional break from the pain, which paradoxically sustains the energy needed to process the loss when they return to the loss-oriented tasks. Persistent avoidance of either orientation--either being consumed by sadness (avoiding restoration) or constantly distracting oneself with tasks (avoiding loss)--is associated with poor adaptation outcomes.

Complementary to the DPM is the Meaning-Making approach championed by Robert Neimeyer. This perspective argues that grief is fundamentally a challenge to the bereaved person's system of meaning. When a loved one dies, the assumptions about the world (e.g., "The world is safe," "Good people live long lives") are shattered. The primary task of mourning, therefore, is to reconstruct a viable, coherent, and credible system of meaning that incorporates the loss, making sense of the tragedy and finding enduring significance in the life that was shared. This reconstruction is crucial for reducing existential distress and facilitating long-term adjustment.

## Connections to Related Psychological Concepts

Bereavement is a foundational topic that intersects across several major subfields of psychology, most prominently **Health Psychology** (due to its impact on physical health and stress), **Clinical Psychology** (due to the need for intervention and diagnosis of complicated forms), and **Developmental Psychology** (as loss is a critical event across the lifespan). Understanding bereavement requires drawing upon theories from cognitive, social, and emotional domains, making it a truly interdisciplinary focus of study.

Bereavement is closely linked to several other core psychological concepts and theories:

**Attachment Theory:** As noted previously, this theory provides the primary framework for understanding the intense emotional searching and protest reactions that characterize acute grief following the disruption of a primary bond.

**Stress and Coping:** Bereavement is viewed as one of the most significant life stressors, demanding high levels of coping resources and adaptive strategies, often depleting psychological and physical reserves.

**Trauma:** When death occurs suddenly, violently, or unexpectedly, the bereavement experience can overlap significantly with symptoms of trauma and Acute Stress Disorder, requiring integrated treatment approaches that address both grief and traumatic memory processing.

**Resilience:** Research in bereavement often seeks to identify the protective factors and coping mechanisms that contribute to psychological resilience, enabling some individuals to adapt successfully despite severe loss.

In conclusion, bereavement is a universal but deeply individualized process that encapsulates the human capacity for attachment and subsequent sorrow when those attachments are permanently severed. Modern psychology recognizes its complexity, moving away from simple linear stages toward dynamic models like the Dual Process Model, which emphasize the ongoing oscillation between confronting pain and actively reconstructing a life with meaning. The ultimate goal of navigating bereavement is not to erase the memory of the deceased, but to successfully integrate

the loss into one's ongoing identity, transforming acute pain into an enduring, cherished connection.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM