

PASSIVE

Authored by
Mohammed looti

November 13, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed looti (2025). *PASSIVE*. Encyclopedia of psychology. Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.arabpsychology.com/?p=17501>

Defining Passivity in Psychological Context

The term **passive**, derived from the Latin *passivus* meaning "capable of feeling or suffering," refers fundamentally to a state of being acted upon rather than initiating action. In the domain of psychology, passivity describes a pervasive characterological trend marked by a reluctance or inability to exercise personal agency, assert individual rights, or actively influence one's environment. This disposition involves the individual assuming a secondary, receptive role in life situations, often yielding control to external forces, circumstances, or the desires of others. It is characterized by a fundamental lack of self-generated momentum, manifesting as a default behavioral mode where compliance and submission supersede self-direction and proactive engagement. This behavioral pattern is critical because it significantly impacts personal development, decision-making abilities, and the quality of interpersonal relationships, frequently leading to frustration and unrealized potential due to the consistent deferral of internal needs.

Psychological passivity is not merely inactivity or shyness; rather, it is a deeply rooted pattern of interaction that defines the individual's approach to challenges and demands. The core defining feature is the individual's belief that outcomes are determined primarily by factors outside of their control, leading to a diminished sense of self-efficacy. This manifests behaviorally as an excessive agreeableness, a notable lack of initiative, and a tendency toward dependence upon others for direction, validation, and emotional sustenance. Such individuals often prioritize maintaining harmony and avoiding conflict above expressing personal truths or advocating for their own interests, thereby sacrificing their autonomy in favor of perceived safety or social acceptance. This pattern ensures they remain susceptible to external influence, fulfilling the definition of being "simply impacted by exterior forces" and heavily reliant on external figures for stability and guidance.

The concept of passivity is often contrasted with the healthy expression of assertiveness, which involves advocating for one's rights and needs without violating the rights of others. In contrast, the passive individual fails to adequately express feelings, needs, or opinions, or expresses them in such an apologetic, self-effacing manner that they are easily dismissed. This failure to communicate effectively stems from underlying anxieties concerning rejection, criticism, or the potential disruption of equilibrium. Crucially, while passivity may appear superficially agreeable, it often masks significant internal turmoil, including suppressed anger, resentment, and chronic feelings of powerlessness. The sustained repression of self-expression can eventually contribute to psychosomatic symptoms or erupt into maladaptive behaviors, such as passive-aggressive manifestations, where frustration is expressed indirectly rather than through direct, constructive communication.

Behavioral and Cognitive Markers of Passive Tendencies

The behavioral markers associated with a passive orientation are numerous and highly observable in social and professional settings. Individuals exhibiting strong passive tendencies often demonstrate an inability to say "no" to unreasonable requests, even when acquiescence causes significant personal distress or inconvenience. They frequently hesitate to make decisions independently, seeking constant reassurance or deferring the choice entirely to a perceived authority figure. Furthermore, when goals are established, the passive individual struggles with the initiation phase, often waiting for external pressure or direction before proceeding, fulfilling the description of being acted upon instead of acting. This pattern of inaction is typically coupled with a characteristic communication style that involves hedging statements, excessive apologies, and a soft, tentative vocal tone that minimizes the perceived importance of their own message.

Cognitively, passivity is underpinned by a constellation of self-limiting beliefs and erroneous assumptions about interpersonal dynamics. These cognitive distortions frequently include the belief that one's needs are less important than those of others, or that expressing disagreement will inevitably lead to catastrophic outcomes, such as abandonment or severe conflict. There is often a profound fear of negative evaluation, leading the individual to adopt a protective stance where visibility and vulnerability are minimized. Key cognitive patterns observed include pervasive self-doubt regarding one's judgment or competence, and a tendency to catastrophize potential consequences of assertive action. This internal dialogue reinforces the passive stance, creating a self-fulfilling prophecy where the individual avoids agency because they believe they are incapable of handling the ensuing challenges or responsibilities.

A significant marker of the passive style is the use of avoidance as a primary coping mechanism. Rather than confronting difficult situations, advocating for change, or engaging in constructive conflict, the passive individual withdraws or delays necessary action. This avoidance extends beyond direct confrontation to include the procrastination of important tasks, the failure to prepare adequately for future events, and the emotional withdrawal from demanding relationships. While avoidance offers temporary relief from anxiety, it ultimately reinforces the feeling of powerlessness and reliance on external factors. This cycle of avoidance, followed by the inevitable negative consequences of inaction, solidifies the perception that the individual is merely a recipient of life's events, lacking the necessary tools or strength to be a primary agent of their own experience.

The Role of External Locus of Control

The psychological concept of Locus of Control, introduced by Julian Rotter, provides a powerful framework for understanding the internal mechanics of passivity. Individuals typically fall along a spectrum between an internal locus of control (belief that outcomes are primarily the result of one's own actions and abilities) and an external locus of control (belief that outcomes are determined by

external forces such as fate, luck, or powerful others). The passive personality structure is intrinsically linked to a pronounced **external locus of control**. This fundamental belief system dictates that personal efforts are largely futile and that life events are random or controlled by superior external entities, thereby justifying the individual's decision to remain inactive and compliant. If the external world is perceived as overwhelmingly powerful and unpredictable, the logical response is submission rather than resistance or initiative.

This external orientation profoundly impacts motivation and goal setting. When success or failure is attributed to external variables, the incentive for personal exertion diminishes dramatically. Why invest significant effort in solving a problem if the outcome is believed to be predetermined by luck or the decision of another person? This cognitive resignation leads to learned helplessness, a state where an individual, having experienced repeated uncontrollable negative events, ceases to respond even when opportunities for control subsequently become available. The passive individual, operating under this external framework, often waits for others to provide solutions, resources, or direction, reinforcing their status as reliant and submissive, perfectly aligning with the definition of being reliant on other people for direction and impetus.

Furthermore, the external locus of control serves as a defense mechanism against potential failure. By attributing negative outcomes to external factors--such as an unfair system, bad luck, or the incompetence of others--the individual protects their self-esteem from the sting of personal inadequacy. However, this defense comes at a high cost: it prevents the individual from taking ownership of success, attributing positive outcomes externally as well. This chronic disavowal of personal responsibility, both for successes and failures, traps the individual in the passive role, limiting their capacity for growth and undermining their sense of personal power. Breaking this pattern requires a deliberate shift in attribution style, encouraging the individual to recognize and internalize the connection between effort, action, and outcome.

Passivity in Interpersonal Communication and Conflict

In interpersonal settings, passivity is most often characterized by a profound failure to negotiate needs effectively, leading to imbalanced relationships where the passive individual's boundaries are consistently violated. This communication style is inherently deferential; the passive communicator often agrees with others even when holding a contrary opinion, apologizes excessively for minor or non-existent transgressions, and avoids making direct requests. Their language is typically indirect, using phrases like "Maybe we could..." or "I don't know, what do you think?" which signals uncertainty and invites others to take the lead. This agreeable, submissive posture, while initially seeming pleasant, often leads to deep resentment in the long term, as the individual's true needs are systematically ignored or suppressed in favor of maintaining superficial harmony.

The approach to conflict resolution is perhaps the most defining feature of interpersonal passivity. Conflict is viewed not as an opportunity for constructive dialogue or negotiation, but as an existential threat to the relationship or one's emotional safety. Consequently, the passive individual employs strategies focused entirely on avoidance or immediate capitulation. They might physically withdraw from discussions, change the topic, or immediately concede the point, even if the concession results in significant detriment to themselves. While this avoids the immediate discomfort of confrontation, the underlying issue remains unresolved, and the passive party internalizes the defeat, further eroding self-worth and confirming the belief that their voice lacks validity or impact. The dynamic establishes a pattern where others learn that the passive individual is easily dominated and unlikely to defend their position.

The consequences of this passive communication style extend to the quality of intimate relationships. The consistent suppression of authentic feelings creates emotional distance and confusion for partners, who may struggle to understand the passive individual's true desires or needs. Over time, the passive person may feel emotionally invisible or unheard, leading to a build-up of unexpressed frustration. This bottled-up emotion can eventually leak out through indirect means, such as procrastination, chronic lateness, or subtle sabotage--behaviors often categorized as **passive-aggressive**. Thus, the pursuit of peace through absolute submission often inadvertently generates more complicated, covert forms of conflict, undermining the very harmony the passive approach sought to protect.

Distinctions: Passivity, Assertiveness, and Aggression

Understanding passivity requires a clear delineation from the two primary contrasting communication and behavioral styles: aggression and assertiveness. These three styles--passive, aggressive, and assertive--form a crucial continuum in social behavior, defining how individuals manage their personal rights and the rights of others. The **passive** style is characterized by the failure to express honest feelings, thoughts, and beliefs, allowing others to violate one's rights. The needs of the passive individual are invariably subordinated to the needs of others. This is the realm of submission and self-sacrifice, where the primary goal is avoidance of conflict and seeking acceptance, regardless of personal cost.

In stark contrast, **aggression** involves expressing thoughts, feelings, and beliefs in a way that is hostile, demanding, threatening, or dominating, thereby violating the rights of others. The aggressive individual seeks to win at all costs, often humiliating or controlling others to achieve their goals. Their communication is direct but harmful, characterized by blame, criticism, and an unwillingness to compromise. While the passive person fears conflict and seeks to minimize their presence, the aggressive person embraces conflict and seeks to maximize their dominance, positioning them as agents who are acting upon others, rather than being acted upon themselves.

The psychologically healthy alternative is **assertiveness**. Assertive behavior involves expressing one's thoughts, feelings, and beliefs in an honest, direct, and appropriate way, while simultaneously respecting the rights and feelings of others. The assertive individual operates from a position of self-respect and mutual regard; they can say "no" without guilt, make requests without demanding, and engage in constructive conflict without resorting to submission or hostility. Assertiveness represents a balance of agency and empathy, recognizing the equal value of one's own needs and the needs of others. The goal of therapeutic intervention for passivity is almost always to cultivate this assertive competence, moving the individual from a state of reactive submission to proactive, respectful self-advocacy.

The Passive Style and Its Relationship to Psychological Disorders

While passivity itself is often considered a trait or communication style, extreme or pervasive patterns of submission and dependence are central features of specific psychological disorders outlined in diagnostic manuals. The most direct link exists with **Dependent Personality Disorder (DPD)**, where the individual exhibits a pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of that leads to submissive and clinging behavior and fears of separation. Individuals with DPD struggle immensely to make everyday decisions without an excessive amount of advice and reassurance from others, often going to extreme lengths to obtain nurturance and support, even volunteering to do things that are unpleasant if it guarantees approval. This disorder is essentially a severe, chronic manifestation of the reliance on other people that defines the passive character.

Furthermore, passive tendencies are often interwoven with mood and anxiety disorders. The chronic suppression of emotions and the continuous internal conflict resulting from unfulfilled needs can contribute significantly to the development of **Major Depressive Disorder**. The sense of helplessness inherent in the external locus of control associated with passivity feeds directly into depressive symptomatology. Similarly, individuals with high passive scores often experience elevated levels of **Social Anxiety Disorder**, as their fear of negative judgment and conflict prevents them from initiating social interactions or asserting themselves when necessary, perpetuating cycles of isolation and perceived inadequacy.

It is also essential to note the relationship between passivity and **Passive-Aggressive Personality Traits**, though the latter is less frequently recognized as a distinct diagnosis in contemporary manuals. Passive-aggressive behavior represents a hostile response to demands for competent performance or compliance, expressed through indirect resistance--such as procrastination, intentional inefficiency, forgetfulness, or stubbornness. While the purely passive individual avoids conflict through submission, the passive-aggressive individual avoids direct conflict through covert resistance. This distinction is crucial: the purely passive person submits, while the passive-aggressive person appears to submit but secretly undermines or resists the perceived authority or demand. Both styles share the characteristic avoidance of clear, direct communication.

Developmental Origins of Passive Behavior

The roots of deeply entrenched passive behavior are typically traced back to early childhood experiences and the dynamics within the primary family unit. A common etiological factor involves parenting styles that reward compliance and submission while actively punishing or severely discouraging independence, initiative, or disagreement. In environments where emotional expression is deemed unsafe or where parental figures are overly critical, controlling, or emotionally intrusive, the child learns that the safest route to maintaining attachment and avoiding punishment is to minimize self-assertion and become agreeable and submissive. The child concludes that their own needs are irrelevant or dangerous to express, thus forming the template for the adult passive personality.

Another significant developmental factor is the modeling of passive behavior by primary caregivers. If a child observes a parent consistently deferring to others, failing to advocate for their own needs, or demonstrating an external locus of control, the child internalizes this behavior as the normative and expected way to navigate the social world. This observational learning reinforces the belief that being acted upon is the standard mode of operation. Furthermore, inconsistent or highly anxious parenting can lead the child to feel incapable of managing their environment, necessitating a reliance on others to manage stress and make decisions, thereby fostering dependence and learned helplessness from an early age.

The experience of trauma or chronic victimization can also solidify a passive stance. When an individual experiences overwhelming situations where genuine agency was impossible, the defensive reaction may be to psychologically and behaviorally submit, minimizing resistance in the face of perceived overwhelming power. This survival mechanism, essential during the traumatic event, can become generalized into an habitual approach to all life challenges, even those where empowerment and assertion are possible. Therefore, the passive disposition may sometimes represent a complex post-traumatic adaptation designed to keep the self safe by ensuring agreeability, thereby reducing the probability of attracting further negative attention or conflict.

Therapeutic Approaches to Fostering Agency

Addressing pervasive passivity in a therapeutic context requires a multi-faceted approach aimed at shifting the individual's locus of control, challenging self-limiting cognitive distortions, and developing practical behavioral skills. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is highly effective in this domain, focusing on identifying the automatic thoughts and core beliefs that maintain the passive stance--such as "If I speak up, I will be rejected" or "My needs are not important." The therapist works to test the reality of these beliefs through behavioral experiments, gradually encouraging the client to take small, measurable risks in asserting themselves and observing the actual, often non-catastrophic, outcomes.

A cornerstone of treatment is **Assertiveness Training**. This involves teaching the client the specific verbal and non-verbal skills required for effective self-advocacy. This training includes practical instruction on how to use "I" statements, how to respectfully refuse requests, how to make direct and clear requests, and how to maintain appropriate eye contact and body language that conveys confidence rather than submission. Role-playing techniques are frequently used to practice these skills in a safe environment, gradually building the client's competence and confidence in applying assertive strategies in real-world scenarios. The goal is to replace the automatic, submissive response with a deliberate, assertive choice.

Finally, psychodynamic and insight-oriented therapies can be crucial for addressing the underlying developmental issues that fuel passivity, particularly addressing the fear of abandonment or unresolved conflicts with parental figures. By exploring the origins of the client's need for extreme compliance, the therapist helps the individual understand why they adopted the passive role as a protective measure and aids them in grieving the loss of autonomy experienced in childhood. This deeper understanding allows the client to detach from the outdated survival mechanism and commit to cultivating genuine self-agency, recognizing that adulthood affords them the safety and capacity to act upon their world rather than simply being acted upon.