PRIMARY QUALITY

Authored by

Mohammed looti

November 18, 2025

Introduction to Primary Quality

The concept of **Primary Quality** stands as a foundational pillar within the epistemology of the influential English philosopher, **John Locke** (1632-1704). Articulated primarily in his monumental work, *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding* (1690), this distinction between qualities serves to explain how our minds perceive and interact with the external world. Locke posited that the qualities inherent in physical objects could be logically and phenomenologically separated into two distinct categories: primary and secondary. Primary qualities are those characteristics that are truly and objectively inherent in the material substance itself, existing independently of any perceiving mind. They are the fundamental, measurable, and intrinsic properties that define the physical existence of matter, and they are generally understood through the senses of sight and touch, although they are not merely subjective sensations. This framework was crucial for Locke, who sought to reconcile the burgeoning scientific worldview, epitomized by thinkers like Robert Boyle and Isaac Newton, with a systematic understanding of human knowledge derived from experience, positioning Primary Qualities as the direct link between objective reality and our sensory experience of it.

Locke's definition suggests that the primary quality of an object is a direct and necessary result of its fundamental physical properties and structure. These qualities are inseparable from the object, meaning that no matter how the object is altered or divided--short of complete annihilation--these core attributes will remain. For instance, a block of wood may be cut into smaller pieces, but each resulting piece still possesses the qualities of extension, size, and solidity. This permanence and objectivity contrast sharply with the fluctuating nature of subjective perception. Primary qualities are thus often quantified and studied mathematically, allowing for precise scientific description and prediction. They are the foundation upon which all other perceived qualities rest, providing the objective backbone to our world. Understanding primary qualities is essential to grasping Locke's commitment to a form of empirical realism, where the physical world genuinely exists outside of our consciousness and possesses knowable, intrinsic features that cause our ideas.

The importance of this distinction cannot be overstated within the context of 17th-century philosophy and the Scientific Revolution. By identifying primary qualities as objective realities, Locke provided a robust philosophical justification for the mechanical philosophy, which sought to explain all natural phenomena in terms of matter, motion, and quantifiable physical laws. Philosophers and scientists were increasingly focused on what could be measured and calculated, moving away from scholastic reliance on inherent forms or occult qualities. Locke's schema affirmed that the true nature of reality lies in these measurable properties such as **weight**, **motion**, **figure**, and **size**. The assertion that these qualities actually reside in the object itself, mirroring the ideas they produce in us, stabilized the empirical project and helped solidify the shift toward modern scientific inquiry, making the study of these intrinsic properties the primary task of natural philosophy.

Historical Context: John Locke and Empiricism

John Locke's epistemological project was deeply rooted in the flourishing intellectual environment of 17th-century England, an era defined by the rise of **empiricism** and the profound influence of figures like Francis Bacon, René Descartes, and the aforementioned natural philosophers. Locke aimed to provide a comprehensive account of the origin, certainty, and extent of human knowledge, famously arguing that the mind is initially a *tabula rasa*, or blank slate, and that all knowledge ultimately derives from experience--either sensation of external objects or reflection upon internal mental operations. The distinction between primary and secondary qualities became a necessary mechanism for Locke to explain how sensory experience translates into reliable knowledge about the external world while simultaneously accounting for the subjective variability inherent in perception. This structural necessity arose because, while we clearly perceive the world, the reliability of those perceptions needed rigorous testing, especially concerning the nature of matter.

The formulation of the primary/secondary quality distinction was not entirely original to Locke; precursors can be found in the writings of Galileo Galilei, who differentiated between qualities that could be measured mathematically (like size and motion) and those that depended on the senses (like heat and color), and in Robert Boyle, who discussed mechanical affections of matter. However, Locke systematized this distinction within a comprehensive theory of ideas, making it central to his representational realism. Locke argued that our ideas of primary qualities resemble the qualities themselves as they exist in the object. For instance, our idea of a cube's figure truly represents the figure of the cube in reality. This resemblance guarantees a degree of objective truth and reliability to our knowledge derived from these properties, forming the bedrock of his empirical system and ensuring that the knowledge obtained through quantifiable measurement is genuine knowledge of the world.

The intellectual challenge Locke faced was bridging the gap between the material substance (the substratum, which he admitted was ultimately unknowable in its essence) and the ideas that substance causes in our minds. By designating certain qualities as primary--those tied directly to the mechanical structure of matter--Locke aligned his philosophy with the corpuscular hypothesis, the dominant scientific theory of the time, which held that all matter consists of tiny, indivisible particles (corpuscles) whose interactions explain physical phenomena. Since primary qualities like **solidity**, **figure**, and **impulse** are the direct result of the arrangement and interaction of these corpuscles, the ideas they produce in us are considered accurate representations of the objective world. This alignment was crucial for establishing the empirical method as the authoritative path to scientific understanding, differentiating objective, measurable facts from subjective, sensory impressions, thereby making Primary Quality a cornerstone of modern scientific philosophy.

Defining Primary Qualities: Inseparable from the Object

The defining characteristic of primary qualities, according to Locke, is their absolute and undeniable presence in the material substance, regardless of whether a mind is perceiving them or not. Locke describes them as those qualities that are utterly **inseparable** from the body, existing in every particle of matter, however small it may be. He famously illustrates this by asking the reader to imagine dividing a grain of wheat. No matter how many times the grain is divided, each resulting part retains the characteristics of extension, solidity, figure, and mobility. If an object ceases to possess these qualities, it ceases to be matter in the physical sense. This intrinsic link means that primary qualities are not mere modifications of matter but are essential to its very definition and existence. They are the objective facts of the physical world, stable and constant across all observational contexts, forming the necessary preconditions for any material entity.

Locke further emphasizes that the ideas produced in us by primary qualities are direct resemblances of the qualities themselves. When we perceive the **size** or **figure** of a table, the idea in our mind accurately reflects the actual size and figure possessed by the table in external reality. This concept of resemblance is critical because it grounds Locke's realism; it assures us that our experience of these fundamental properties is not illusory or purely subjective. This stands in stark contrast to secondary qualities, where the idea (e.g., the sensation of heat) bears no resemblance to the quality in the object (the motion of particles). Thus, primary qualities provide the mind with genuinely objective knowledge about the external world, forming the basis for reliable, shared, and scientific understanding, which can be verified through measurement and calculation, independent of individual sensory apparatuses.

The necessity and universality of primary qualities also imply their role in determining the interaction of bodies. Qualities such as **motion**, **rest**, and **solidity** are the mechanisms by which physical objects impact one another, leading to cause-and-effect relationships that are predictable and governed by natural laws. For instance, the primary quality of **solidity** dictates that two objects cannot occupy the same space at the same time, leading to physical resistance and collision. Similarly, the **motion** of one object will inevitably affect the motion of another based on their respective masses and velocities (qualities related to size and quantity of matter). These quantifiable interactions underscore why primary qualities are the domain of physics and mathematics, providing the framework through which all mechanical explanations of nature must operate. The objective measurement of mass, as exemplified by the statement, "The primary quality of the object included that fact that it weighed in excess of 18 grams," perfectly illustrates the focus on measurable, objective physical properties like weight and quantity.

Enumerating Primary Qualities: Locke's List

Locke provided a definitive, though sometimes debated, list of what constitutes primary qualities.

The canonical set includes **Solidity**, **Extension** (or Size), **Figure** (or Shape), **Motion** or **Rest**, and **Number**. These five properties are considered the most basic, fundamental attributes of matter, and all other physical phenomena must ultimately be explained through their interaction and arrangement. **Solidity** is arguably the most crucial, defined by Locke as the quality by which a body excludes all other bodies from occupying the space it fills; it is the physical impenetrability of matter. This quality is fundamental to our understanding of tangible substance and is the basis of touch and resistance. Without solidity, matter would merely be an insubstantial phantom, incapable of interaction or occupying definite space, thereby undermining the entire physical world.

Extension, which encompasses both **size** and volume, refers to the property of occupying space. Every physical object must have extension, and its size is a measurable quantity that exists whether or not it is being observed. The size of an object, large or small, is an objective fact about its spatial dimensions. Closely related is **Figure**, which refers to the boundary or shape of the object. A sphere, a cube, or an irregular lump all possess a definite figure, and this geometric property is objectively measurable and essential for determining how objects fit together or interact. The figure of a key, for example, is the primary quality that determines whether it can unlock a specific lock, an interaction based purely on spatial arrangement and dimension.

The dynamic properties of matter are captured by **Motion** and **Rest**. Matter is inherently capable of being moved or remaining at rest, and these states are objective facts about the object's spatial position over time. The velocity and direction of an object are quantifiable and exist independently of perception, though perception is required to observe them. These qualities are central to Newtonian mechanics, which Locke's philosophy strongly supported, emphasizing that changes in the world are fundamentally changes in the motion and arrangement of particles. Finally, **Number** refers to the quality of multitude or singularity. Any collection of objects, or even the discrete parts within a single object, can be counted, and the count itself is an objective attribute of that collection. Whether there are three apples or five is a primary quality of the group, existing irrespective of the observer's ability to count them, highlighting the inherent mathematical structure of the physical world.

Distinction from Secondary Qualities

The philosophical power of Locke's theory rests on its rigorous separation of primary qualities from **Secondary Qualities**. Secondary qualities, such as **taste**, **smell**, **color**, **sound**, and **heat/cold**, are defined not as properties inherent in the object itself, but as powers in the object to produce certain sensations in us by means of its primary qualities. Unlike the ideas of primary qualities, which resemble the qualities in the object, the ideas of secondary qualities bear no resemblance to the actual mechanism causing them. For example, the sensation of redness in a rose is caused not by the rose being intrinsically "red" in the way it is intrinsically "extended," but rather by the specific arrangement and motion of the rose's corpuscles, which interact with light waves in a way that

stimulates the observer's eye to produce the mental sensation of red.

The key differentiating factor is objectivity versus subjectivity. Primary qualities are objective because they are constant, measurable, and exist in the object whether perceived or not. If a tree falls in a forest and no one is there, it still possesses size, figure, and motion. Secondary qualities, however, are inherently relational and subjective. The same object can produce different secondary quality sensations in different perceivers, or even in the same perceiver under different conditions. Water might feel hot to one hand that was previously cold, and simultaneously feel cold to another hand that was previously warm. This variability proves that the perceived quality (hotness or coldness) resides not solely in the water, but in the interaction between the water and the perceiving subject's sensory state. This variability is impossible for primary qualities; a measurement of 18 grams remains 18 grams regardless of the observer.

Locke's motivation for this distinction was to save the reality of the physical world from the potential relativism introduced by sensory variation. By placing characteristics like color and taste firmly in the subjective realm of perception, he protected the objective, measurable properties of matter--the primary qualities--as the true focus of scientific inquiry. The secondary qualities are merely epiphenomena, arising from the primary qualities acting upon our senses. This division allowed science to focus on matter, motion, and structure (primary qualities) to explain the universe, treating subjective sensory experiences (secondary qualities) as effects whose causes lie in the mechanical arrangements of the primary qualities. The difference is fundamentally causal: primary qualities produce ideas in us directly through resemblance, while secondary qualities produce ideas in us indirectly through the power of their primary qualities to affect our senses.

Philosophical Implications and Significance

The theory of primary and secondary qualities had profound and lasting consequences for subsequent philosophy and scientific thought, primarily solidifying the position of **Representational Realism**. Locke's view dictated that the external world is real and that our ideas represent that world, but only certain ideas (those corresponding to primary qualities) are accurate copies or resemblances. This framework provided a powerful justification for the emerging scientific methodology that relied on quantitative measurement and mathematical description to understand nature. If the true features of reality are size, motion, and number, then mathematics becomes the indispensable tool for unlocking the universe's secrets, a notion championed by figures like Newton, whose work was heavily based on calculating these very properties.

However, the theory also introduced significant epistemological problems that dominated the thinking of Locke's successors. By making the objective world accessible only through ideas, Locke inadvertently created a 'veil of perception' problem. If we only ever directly perceive our own ideas, how can we be absolutely certain that our ideas of primary qualities genuinely resemble the

external qualities they are supposed to represent? We cannot step outside our own consciousness to compare the idea with the object itself. This challenge was taken up by George Berkeley, who radicalized Locke's distinction. Berkeley argued that if secondary qualities are merely ideas in the mind, and if primary qualities (like size or figure) are impossible to conceive of without accompanying secondary qualities (like color), then primary qualities must also be mind-dependent. Berkeley famously concluded that *esse est percipi* (to be is to be perceived), effectively eliminating matter and primary qualities as objective entities existing outside the mind, turning Locke's realism into idealism.

Despite these critical challenges, Locke's framework provided a crucial conceptual bridge between ancient metaphysics and modern physics. It allowed for a clear separation between the objective world of scientific investigation and the subjective world of personal experience, effectively legitimizing the objective approach of the natural sciences. The notion that weight, motion, and size are the essential, measurable components of reality became the standard working assumption for chemists, physicists, and astronomers for centuries. The concept paved the way for modern physics, which focuses precisely on quantifying and modeling these primary qualities, even if the philosophical certainty of their perfect resemblance to our ideas remains a complex issue within contemporary epistemology. The legacy of the distinction is thus one of profound influence on scientific methodology and a source of enduring debate in the philosophy of perception.

Criticisms and Later Developments

While fundamentally important, Locke's distinction between primary and secondary qualities faced significant criticism almost immediately, most notably from the aforementioned **Bishop George Berkeley** and later by **David Hume**. Berkeley's critique was perhaps the most damaging, arguing that the alleged objectivity of primary qualities collapses when scrutinized. He pointed out that our perception of primary qualities is just as variable and mind-dependent as our perception of secondary qualities. For example, the size (a primary quality) of an object appears different depending on the distance from which it is viewed, and its motion (another primary quality) is relative to the observer's own state of motion. If primary qualities are subject to perceptual variability and relativity, just like secondary qualities, then the claim that they are inseparable from the object and perfectly resemble our ideas loses its philosophical grounding, suggesting all qualities exist solely in the mind.

Another major point of criticism focuses on the coherence of distinguishing the two types of qualities in the first place. Critics argue that it is psychologically and conceptually impossible to conceive of an object possessing primary qualities without also possessing secondary qualities. One cannot imagine a solid, extended, moving object that has absolutely no color, taste, or temperature. The qualities appear inextricably bound together in experience. This suggests that the distinction is an artificial philosophical abstraction rather than a reflection of how reality is

experienced or constituted. Furthermore, later philosophical developments, particularly in the 20th century, challenged the very notion of 'ideas' as mediating entities, moving towards direct realism or functionalist accounts of perception that bypass the Lockean representationalist structure entirely.

Despite the philosophical debates, the primary/secondary quality distinction remains highly influential in certain conceptual domains, particularly in defining the scope of scientific inquiry. Although modern physics has complicated Locke's precise list (e.g., mass and charge are now fundamental, while solidity might be viewed as a complex effect), the fundamental methodological distinction persists: science deals with objective, measurable properties (the heirs of primary qualities), while subjective experiences (sensations and qualia) are treated differently, perhaps as phenomena arising from the physical interactions of those objective properties. Locke's work provided the initial, powerful argument for why the scientist should measure the **extension** and **motion** of particles rather than debating the inherent 'whiteness' or 'sweetness' of substances. In essence, while the metaphysical claims about resemblance have largely been discarded, the heuristic value of separating the quantifiable, external properties from the subjective, internal effects remains a cornerstone of empirical methodology.

The Role of Primary Quality in Scientific Measurement

The ultimate practical utility of Locke's primary quality concept lies in its direct applicability to the methodology of the emerging hard sciences. By defining qualities like **size**, **figure**, and **motion** as objective realities, Locke offered a philosophical mandate for measurement. Scientific progress depends on the ability to assign reproducible numerical values to the properties of matter. If these properties were subjective (like secondary qualities), universal scientific agreement would be impossible. Because primary qualities are posited as constant and inherent, they provide the stable reference points necessary for quantitative analysis, enabling the development of standard units and reproducible experiments that form the core of the scientific method.

Consider the measurement of **weight**, which is fundamentally tied to the primary qualities of size (mass/quantity of matter) and motion (gravitational attraction). The objective statement, "The primary quality of the object included that fact that it weighed in excess of 18 grams," is a scientific statement precisely because '18 grams' refers to a quantifiable, objective property of the object--its mass--which causes the sensation of weight in us. This measurement is independent of the observer's feeling or opinion. The weight remains 18 grams whether the observer finds the object heavy or light, or whether they are wearing red glasses or blue glasses. This objectivity is the hallmark of a primary quality and confirms its utility as a reliable datum in physical science.

The enduring influence of this perspective is visible in nearly every branch of physical science. Mechanics relies on calculating the primary qualities of motion and mass. Geometry and

engineering depend entirely on the objective reality of figure and size. Even modern chemistry, which deals with complex molecular interactions, fundamentally relies on the primary qualities of the constituent atoms--their arrangement, number, and mass. Locke provided the initial, authoritative argument for prioritizing the quantifiable aspects of reality, thereby setting the philosophical stage for the Age of Enlightenment and the subsequent technological and scientific revolutions. The careful study of primary qualities ensures that scientific conclusions are based on stable, external facts rather than fleeting, internal sensations, securing the universality of scientific knowledge.