SPORT IMAGERY QUESTIONNAIRE (SIQJ 1

Authored by

Mohammed looti

November 16, 2025

Introduction and Conceptual Framework

The Sport Imagery Questionnaire (SIQ-I) stands as one of the most widely utilized and rigorously tested psychometric instruments designed specifically for assessing the use and functionality of mental imagery among competitive athletes. Developed through extensive research in sport psychology, the SIQ-I provides a standardized method for quantifying the frequency with which an athlete employs various types of imagery, thereby offering crucial insights into their mental preparation strategies. Unlike simpler self-report measures, the SIQ-I is rooted in the functional equivalence hypothesis of imagery, which posits that mental practice shares neurological pathways with actual physical performance. This sophisticated tool moves beyond merely asking if an athlete uses imagery; instead, it delves into the specific purposes and applications of that imagery, which are categorized across five distinct dimensions, representing both motivational and cognitive functions essential for peak athletic performance and skill acquisition.

A primary objective of the SIQ-I is to measure the degree to which a sportsperson strategically uses these five aforementioned functions, providing a robust profile of their mental training habits. This comprehensive approach acknowledges that not all imagery is created equal; the effectiveness of mental rehearsal depends heavily on the content and the intent behind its use. For example, an athlete visualizing success (a motivational function) is engaging in a fundamentally different mental process than one mentally rehearsing a specific complex movement pattern (a cognitive function). By separating these constructs, the SIQ-I allows researchers and practitioners to pinpoint areas of strength or deficiency in an athlete's mental toolkit, facilitating targeted intervention and training programs aimed at maximizing psychological readiness and competitive advantage.

Furthermore, the SIQ-I serves a critical dual role, extending its utility beyond the measurement of usage frequency to also gauge the athlete's overall ability to generate vivid and controllable images, a concept often referred to as imaging ability or image quality. This distinction is vital in sport psychology, as frequent use of imagery does not automatically equate to effective use. An athlete may attempt to use imagery often, but if their mental images lack clarity, vividness, or controllability--the ability to manipulate the image as desired--the psychological benefit may be significantly diminished. Therefore, the questionnaire incorporates sections designed to assess these inherent capabilities, providing a complete picture that integrates both the quantity (frequency of use across functions) and the quality (inherent ability) of the athlete's imagery practice.

Historical Context and Development of the SIQ-I

The development of the Sport Imagery Questionnaire emerged from a recognized need within applied sport psychology for a reliable, multidimensional instrument capable of capturing the

complexity of imagery use among elite athletes. Earlier measures often focused narrowly on movement rehearsal or general relaxation, failing to account for the broad motivational and cognitive roles imagery plays in high-stakes competitive environments. Researchers recognized the theoretical frameworks proposed by pioneers like Paivio, who categorized imagery into motivational and cognitive types, and sought to operationalize these concepts into a practical assessment tool. This foundational work provided the structure necessary to create a questionnaire that systematically addresses the various ways athletes employ mental rehearsal to enhance performance, manage anxiety, and improve skill execution.

The initial construction of the SIQ-I involved extensive item generation and rigorous factor analysis to ensure that the resulting instrument accurately measured the distinct constructs it purported to assess. This methodological rigor led to the identification of the five primary factors that constitute the questionnaire's core structure. The meticulous process ensured strong construct validity, demonstrating that the questionnaire items clustered logically around the identified motivational and cognitive subscales. The goal was to create an instrument that was not only theoretically sound but also psychometrically robust, allowing for meaningful comparisons across different athletes, sports, and competitive levels. This careful developmental stage cemented the SIQ-I's reputation as the gold standard for imagery assessment in the field.

Crucially, the SIQ-I was designed to be easily administered and understood by a diverse population of athletes, ranging from amateur competitors to Olympic-level professionals. The foundational premise involves asking athletes a series of image-related questions concerning their mental preparation and experiences within their specific sport context. These questions are typically rated on a Likert scale, allowing for quantitative measurement of subjective experience. The widespread adoption of the SIQ-I by researchers, coaches, and clinicians globally testifies to its effectiveness in translating complex psychological theory into actionable data, providing a scientific basis for designing personalized mental training programs and evaluating their efficacy over time.

The Five Functions of Imagery: Motivational Applications

Three of the five primary functions measured by the SIQ-I fall under the umbrella of motivational imagery, which is defined by its focus on regulating affective states, improving self-confidence, and maintaining persistence toward goals. The first motivational function is **General Motivation-Arousal (GM)**, which refers to the athlete's use of imagery to regulate their physiological and psychological arousal levels. This involves mentally rehearsing situations to achieve an optimal state of readiness, whether that means using calming imagery to reduce pre-competition anxiety or using energizing imagery to increase focus and intensity. GM imagery is critical for emotional regulation, ensuring the athlete enters the competitive arena in the ideal mindset required for their specific sport.

The second motivational dimension is **General Motivation-Mastery (GMM)**, which is arguably one of the most powerful uses of imagery for building robust psychological resilience. GMM involves visualizing oneself effectively coping with challenging situations, overcoming adversity, and successfully achieving previously defined goals. This type of imagery focuses on the mastery experience, allowing the athlete to mentally prepare for unexpected obstacles, such as errors, difficult opponents, or adverse environmental conditions, and rehearse successful coping mechanisms. By repeatedly visualizing mastery, athletes build self-efficacy and confidence in their ability to perform under pressure, reinforcing a positive self-belief system regardless of external stressors.

The third motivational function is **Specific Motivation (SM)**, which is tied directly to the achievement of specific, outcome-oriented goals. Unlike GMM, which deals with process and coping, SM involves visualizing specific, tangible outcomes such as winning a particular competition, achieving a personal best time, or successfully executing a game-winning play. SM imagery links the mental rehearsal directly to the desired result, serving as a strong cognitive motivator. Athletes who score high on SM frequently use imagery to keep their ultimate aims clearly in view, maintaining focus and commitment during rigorous training cycles, effectively translating abstract ambitions into vivid, achievable mental realities.

The Five Functions of Imagery: Cognitive Applications

The remaining two functions assessed by the SIQ-I are categorized as cognitive imagery, which focuses specifically on the execution of skills, strategies, and movement sequences. These functions are crucial for skill acquisition, refinement, and maintaining consistency in performance. The first cognitive dimension is **General Cognitive (GC)** imagery, which relates to the visualization of game plans, strategies, and routines. GC imagery is essential for athletes in complex or team sports where strategic decision-making under pressure is paramount. This may involve mentally rehearsing defensive formations, offensive set plays, or complex tactical sequences, allowing the athlete to internalize the overall flow and structure of the competition environment.

GC imagery helps athletes develop situational awareness and the ability to anticipate opponents' actions, making split-second decisions feel automatic when translated to the physical domain. By mentally walking through various strategic scenarios, the athlete reduces cognitive load during actual performance, freeing up attentional resources for immediate execution. Furthermore, GC imagery is often used to rehearse pre-performance routines, ensuring consistency and mental readiness before every attempt or competitive segment, thereby bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application on the field or court.

The second cognitive function is **Specific Cognitive (SC)** imagery, which is dedicated to the precise mental rehearsal of specific motor skills and movement sequences. SC imagery involves

detailed visualization of the sensory and kinesthetic elements associated with performing a particular skill--such as a specific golf swing, a free throw, or a gymnastics routine. This function adheres closely to the principle of mental practice, where the mental simulation of movement activates the same neural pathways as physical practice, leading to measurable improvements in skill execution and motor learning. High scores in SC indicate an athlete frequently uses imagery to correct errors, refine technique, and maintain the muscle memory necessary for consistent, high-level performance, highlighting the direct link between visualization and motor control.

Measuring Imaging Ability and Quality

Beyond assessing the frequency of use across the five functions, the SIQ-I also incorporates elements designed to measure the athlete's fundamental **imaging abilities**, recognizing that the efficacy of imagery is intrinsically linked to its quality. This dimension moves away from the motivational or cognitive content and focuses instead on the mechanics of the visualization process itself. Key components of imaging ability include vividness and controllability. Vividness refers to the clarity, detail, and multisensory nature of the mental image--how real and clear the athlete can make the sight, sound, feeling, and even smell of the competitive environment.

Controllability, conversely, addresses the athlete's capacity to manipulate and direct the mental image precisely as desired. A highly controllable image means the athlete can start, stop, speed up, slow down, or correct errors within their visualization effortlessly. If an athlete frequently uses imagery (scoring high on the five functional scales) but lacks the ability to make those images vivid or controllable, the actual benefits derived from their practice will be limited. Poor controllability, for instance, might result in an athlete repeatedly visualizing a mistake or a flawed technique, inadvertently reinforcing negative motor patterns rather than corrective ones.

The inclusion of imaging ability measures within the broader SIQ-I framework provides crucial diagnostic information for practitioners. If an athlete scores low on the ability scales, the intervention strategy shifts from encouraging mere frequency of use to actively training the quality of their visualization. Techniques such as progressively detailed script writing, focusing on multisensory cues, and practicing mental stop-and-start exercises are employed to enhance vividness and controllability. Therefore, the SIQ-I's assessment of imaging ability acts as a vital qualifier for the functional scores, ensuring that interventions are tailored not only to what the athlete visualizes but also to how effectively they can perform the act of visualization itself.

Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation

The administration of the Sport Imagery Questionnaire is typically straightforward, employing a standardized format to ensure consistency and reliability across different testing environments. Athletes are presented with a series of statements corresponding to the five functional scales and

the imaging ability components, and they are asked to rate the frequency with which they engage in that specific imagery behavior. Most versions of the SIQ-I utilize a seven-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (Never) to 7 (Always), which provides adequate sensitivity to capture subtle variations in usage patterns. The questionnaire is self-administered and generally takes less than 20 minutes to complete, minimizing disruption to the athlete's training schedule.

Scoring involves calculating mean or total scores for each of the five subscales: General Motivation-Arousal (GM), General Motivation-Mastery (GMM), Specific Motivation (SM), General Cognitive (GC), and Specific Cognitive (SC). These scores provide a quantitative profile of the athlete's dominant imagery style. For example, a high score on the SC scale suggests the athlete heavily relies on mental rehearsal for skill refinement, while a low score on GMM might indicate an underutilization of imagery for building confidence and coping mechanisms. The resulting profile allows the sport psychologist to identify potential imbalances or underutilized functions that could be addressed through targeted mental skills training.

Interpretation of the SIQ-I results requires careful consideration of the athlete's sport, competitive level, and stage of development. Normative data often aids in benchmarking an individual athlete's scores against comparable populations. Crucially, the scores are not inherently prescriptive but diagnostic; they inform the intervention strategy. If an athlete shows high frequency scores but reports significant performance anxiety, the practitioner might look closely at their GM scores (arousal regulation) and their imaging ability scores (vividness/controllability) to determine if their current imagery use is ineffective or if they lack the ability to generate the necessary calming images. Effective interpretation integrates the quantitative SIQ-I data with qualitative interviews and observations of the athlete's actual performance context.

Reliability, Validity, and Psychometric Properties

The enduring utility and widespread acceptance of the Sport Imagery Questionnaire stem from its robust psychometric properties, particularly its demonstrated reliability and validity across diverse athletic populations. Reliability, which refers to the consistency of the measurement, has been established through high internal consistency scores, typically assessed using Cronbach's alpha, indicating that the items within each of the five subscales measure the same underlying construct coherently. Furthermore, test-retest reliability studies have confirmed that the scores remain stable over time, assuming no significant intervention or change in the athlete's training regimen, lending confidence to the stability of the athlete's self-reported imagery habits.

Validity, the extent to which the SIQ-I measures what it claims to measure, has been rigorously tested through several methods. **Construct validity** is confirmed by factor analytic studies that consistently replicate the five-factor structure (GM, GMM, SM, GC, SC), confirming that the instrument accurately captures the distinct motivational and cognitive dimensions of imagery use

as theorized. **Concurrent validity** has been established by demonstrating significant correlations between SIQ-I subscale scores and other related psychological constructs, such as measures of motivation, self-efficacy, and performance anxiety. For instance, high scores on GMM (Motivation-Mastery) are typically correlated with high levels of sport confidence.

Perhaps most importantly for applied settings, the SIQ-I has demonstrated **predictive validity**, showing that scores on specific subscales can predict future athletic performance outcomes. Athletes who report higher use of cognitive imagery (SC and GC) often demonstrate superior learning rates and more consistent execution of complex skills. The rigorous psychometric foundation, evidenced by its consistent factor structure across different cultures and languages, ensures that the SIQ-I remains a scientifically defensible and powerful tool for both research and clinical application in the field of sport psychology, solidifying its place as the benchmark for imagery assessment.

Practical Applications in Sport Psychology

The data yielded by the Sport Imagery Questionnaire provides the essential foundation for personalized mental training interventions in applied sport psychology. By identifying an athlete's unique profile of imagery usage, practitioners can move beyond generic advice and develop highly specific imagery scripts tailored to the athlete's needs and deficiencies. For an athlete scoring low on Specific Cognitive imagery, the intervention would focus heavily on kinesthetic rehearsal and detailed mental practice of their core technical skills. Conversely, an athlete lacking confidence might receive training centered on General Motivation-Mastery imagery, rehearsing successful coping and execution under simulated pressure to bolster self-efficacy.

Furthermore, the SIQ-I is invaluable for monitoring progress over the course of a mental skills program. By administering the questionnaire before, during, and after an intervention period, the sport psychologist can objectively assess whether the athlete has increased their frequency of use in the targeted functional areas, confirming the effectiveness of the training. This quantitative feedback loop is essential for accountability and for making necessary adjustments to the intervention plan. If, for example, post-intervention scores reveal that the athlete still struggles with General Motivation-Arousal, the intervention can be modified to incorporate more intense arousal-regulation imagery techniques.

In team settings, the SIQ-I can be used to profile the collective mental preparation of the squad, highlighting potential areas where team-wide imagery sessions might be beneficial, such as rehearsing tactical movements (GC imagery) or building collective confidence (GMM imagery). The SIQ-I thus serves as a powerful diagnostic and evaluative tool, transforming the abstract concept of mental preparation into measurable, manageable data points that directly inform evidence-based practice, ultimately helping athletes leverage the full potential of their mental capacity to

achieve peak performance.