

TARGET BEHAVIOR

Authored by
Mohammed loot

December 1, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed loot (2025). *TARGET BEHAVIOR*. Encyclopedia of psychology. Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.arabpsychology.com/?p=20971>

The Conceptualization of Target Behavior

The term target behavior, fundamental to the fields of applied behavior analysis (ABA), clinical psychology, and educational interventions, refers specifically to a **certain behavior that has been chosen for modification**. This selection process is rarely arbitrary; rather, it is the result of systematic assessment designed to identify behaviors that are either significantly impeding an individual's quality of life or preventing the acquisition of necessary skills. Target behaviors are the explicit focus of intervention plans, representing the dependent variable that clinicians, educators, or therapists aim to increase, decrease, maintain, or eliminate entirely. Without the clear identification of a target behavior, any attempt at systematic change lacks the necessary focus and measurability required for scientific evaluation and effective intervention.

The core principle underlying the identification of a target behavior is the understanding that behavior is observable, measurable, and subject to environmental influence. When applied correctly, the definition of the target behavior serves as the anchor for the entire modification process, providing a baseline against which all subsequent progress or lack thereof is evaluated. If the behavior is not defined rigorously, it becomes impossible to determine whether the intervention is truly effective, leading to inconsistent data collection and potential misallocation of resources. Therefore, the successful initiation of any behavior change program hinges critically upon the precise and validated selection of the behavior that is designated to be changed.

In practical terms, the target behavior must be observable by independent observers, meaning that two different individuals watching the same event should be able to agree reliably on whether the behavior occurred or not. This requirement eliminates subjective internal states, such as feelings or attitudes, from being designated as primary target behaviors, instead focusing on the overt actions that can be consistently quantified. For instance, rather than targeting "anger," a behavior analyst would target the observable manifestations of anger, such as "yelling," "hitting," or "throwing objects." This dedication to observable phenomena ensures that the intervention remains within the scope of behavior modification principles, which rely heavily on empirically validated methods and data-driven decision-making.

The Necessity of Operational Definition

An operational definition is perhaps the single most critical step following the initial selection of a target behavior. It transforms a vague or generalized description of an action into a clear, concise, and measurable statement that specifies exactly what constitutes an instance of the behavior and what does not. Without a robust operational definition, the consistency of data collection--known as inter-observer agreement (IOA)--will suffer, making it impossible to establish a reliable baseline or accurately track changes following intervention implementation. The operational definition serves as a contract among all stakeholders--the client, the therapist, the parents, or the teachers--

ensuring everyone is measuring the exact same phenomenon.

A high-quality operational definition must contain three essential components to ensure clarity and replicability. Firstly, it must describe the behavior itself in clear, objective terms, detailing the topography or the physical movements involved. Secondly, it must specify the context or setting events--where and when the behavior is likely or unlikely to occur. Finally, and crucially, it must provide examples and non-examples. Non-examples are particularly important as they clarify the boundaries of the behavior, preventing confusion with similar, but functionally different, actions. For example, if the target behavior is "aggression," the non-example might specify that accidental contact during play or self-injurious behavior are not included within the scope of the definition.

The process of creating an operational definition is iterative and often requires refinement based on initial observational data. A definition that sounds adequate in a planning meeting may prove ambiguous when observers actually attempt to use it in a natural environment. Therefore, experts emphasize the importance of pilot testing the definition to ensure that observers can achieve a high level of agreement (typically 80% or higher) when independently recording the occurrence of the target behavior. This validation process is non-negotiable, as unreliable measurement invalidates the entire scientific process, rendering any resulting data or conclusions suspect.

The strict adherence to operational definitions is what distinguishes scientifically grounded behavior modification from informal attempts at behavior change. It ensures that the intervention is targeted precisely at the functional dimension of the behavior, rather than simply addressing superficial manifestations. Through this precision, intervention fidelity--the extent to which the intervention is implemented as planned--is maximized, directly increasing the likelihood of achieving the desired outcome and providing a clear path for generalization and maintenance of the newly acquired skills or reduced challenging behaviors.

Criteria for Target Behavior Selection

The process of choosing which behavior out of a potentially large repertoire of behaviors should be prioritized for modification is governed by several ethical and practical criteria designed to maximize the benefit to the individual and society. One of the foremost criteria is **social validity**, which assesses whether the behavior chosen for change is meaningful to the client, their family, and the community, and whether the proposed changes will significantly improve the individual's life quality. It is ethically unsound to target a behavior simply because it is convenient for the staff or easy to measure; the intervention must address behaviors that yield significant and positive long-term consequences for the client.

Another essential criterion is the behavior's immediate relevance to safety and skill acquisition. Behaviors that pose an immediate physical threat to the individual or others, such as severe aggression, self-injurious behavior (SIB), or dangerous elopement, typically take immediate

precedence over behaviors that are merely disruptive or inefficient. Once safety concerns are addressed, priority often shifts to behaviors that serve as prerequisite skills for more complex learning. For instance, increasing attention span or compliance with simple instructions might be targeted before attempting to teach advanced academic or vocational skills, as these foundational behaviors are necessary for subsequent mastery.

Furthermore, the principle of **behavioral hierarchy** dictates that foundational, pivotal, or generative behaviors should be prioritized. A pivotal behavior is one that, when modified, leads to widespread, collateral improvements in other, untargeted behaviors. For example, improving self-initiation might lead to spontaneous improvements in social interactions and problem-solving skills. Conversely, generative behaviors are those that allow the client to combine existing skills in novel ways to adapt to new situations. Selecting pivotal or generative behaviors offers a much higher return on investment for the time and resources dedicated to intervention compared to targeting highly specific, low-impact behaviors.

Finally, the behavior selected must be truly modifiable within the constraints of the available resources, setting, and client characteristics. While a behavior might be highly relevant, if the necessary resources (e.g., highly trained staff, specialized environments, continuous supervision) are not feasible or available, a less ambitious but achievable target behavior might be selected first. Clinicians must balance the ideal outcome with the practical realities of implementation, ensuring that the chosen target behavior is one for which a meaningful change can be documented and maintained over time.

Classification of Target Behaviors (Excess and Deficit)

Target behaviors are typically categorized into two broad classes based on the desired direction of change: behaviors in **excess** and behaviors in **deficit**. Understanding this classification is crucial because the intervention strategies employed for each category are fundamentally different. Behaviors in excess are those that occur too frequently, too intensely, or for too long a duration, and the goal of intervention is to reduce or eliminate them. Examples of excess behaviors include chronic tantrums, excessive screen time, self-stimulatory repetitive behaviors (stereotypy), or aggressive outbursts.

Conversely, behaviors in deficit are skills or actions that are either entirely absent from the individual's repertoire or occur too infrequently or weakly to be functional. The primary goal when addressing deficits is skill acquisition--to teach the individual a new behavior or to increase the frequency, duration, or intensity of an existing, desirable behavior. Examples of deficit behaviors include lacking appropriate social communication skills, failing to maintain personal hygiene, an inability to follow multi-step directions, or insufficient academic engagement. Most comprehensive behavior change plans involve addressing both classes simultaneously: reducing competing

excess behaviors while simultaneously teaching functional replacement behaviors (deficits).

The intervention approach must align directly with the classification. For excess behaviors, interventions often focus on consequence manipulation--using procedures like differential reinforcement, extinction, or response cost to reduce the behavior, alongside antecedent strategies to prevent the behavior from occurring in the first place. When dealing with deficit behaviors, the emphasis shifts to teaching strategies, such as shaping, chaining, modeling, prompting, and positive reinforcement, designed specifically to build and strengthen the desired skill. A common pitfall in behavior modification is focusing solely on reducing the excess behavior without providing a functional replacement skill, which often results in the target behavior re-emerging or being replaced by a new, equally undesirable behavior.

Effective behavioral planning, therefore, requires meticulous analysis of the relationship between excesses and deficits. For example, if a child engages in property destruction (excess behavior) to escape academic demands (function), the intervention should not only involve procedures to reduce the destruction but also teach the child an appropriate communication skill, such as asking for a break (deficit behavior), which serves the same functional purpose but is socially acceptable. This dual focus ensures that the individual gains adaptive skills necessary for navigating their environment successfully.

Methods of Behavior Assessment and Data Collection

Accurate and continuous measurement of the target behavior is the backbone of empirical behavior modification. Before any intervention can begin, a robust baseline must be established, representing the natural occurrence of the behavior prior to treatment. This baseline data provides the standard against which the effectiveness of the intervention will be measured. The choice of measurement system depends heavily on the topography of the target behavior and the goal of the intervention.

For behaviors that have a clear start and end, such as hitting or yelling, **frequency recording** (or event recording) is often used, counting the total number of times the behavior occurs within a specified observation period. If the behavior is continuous or occurs for varying lengths of time, such as studying or tantrumming, **duration recording** is utilized, measuring the total time spent engaging in the behavior. Alternatively, **latency recording** measures the time between a stimulus (e.g., a request) and the initiation of the target response, often used for behaviors related to compliance or quick task initiation.

When the behavior occurs at very high rates, or when observing multiple behaviors simultaneously, time sampling methods provide a more practical approach. **Interval recording** involves breaking the observation period into smaller, equal intervals. In partial interval recording, the behavior is marked if it occurs at any point during the interval, often used for behaviors targeted for reduction.

Conversely, whole interval recording marks the behavior only if it occurs throughout the entire interval, typically used for behaviors targeted for increase, such as sustained attention. Momentary time sampling, which records the behavior only if it is occurring at the precise moment the interval ends, is generally the least burdensome method for observers but provides the least accurate representation of the behavior's total occurrence.

Regardless of the method chosen, consistent data collection and visual analysis of the data plotted on a graph are mandatory. Behavior analysts rely on visual inspection of the graphed data--looking for trends, levels, and variability--to make informed, data-driven decisions about whether to continue, modify, or terminate an intervention. This reliance on objective data ensures accountability and prevents subjective judgments or biases from influencing treatment decisions, thereby maintaining the scientific integrity of the intervention process.

The Role of Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA)

The functional behavior assessment (FBA) is a systematic method used to determine the underlying reason, or **function**, that maintains a target behavior. It is based on the premise that all behavior serves a purpose for the individual, and understanding this purpose is essential for developing effective, function-based intervention strategies. Simply targeting the topography (what the behavior looks like) without understanding the function (why the behavior occurs) often leads to ineffective or temporary suppression of the behavior.

The FBA typically employs a three-tiered approach: indirect assessment, direct observation, and functional analysis. Indirect assessment involves gathering information through interviews and questionnaires with individuals familiar with the client (e.g., parents, teachers) to hypothesize about the behavior's antecedents and consequences. Direct observation involves using tools like the **A-B-C recording method**, where the observer systematically documents the Antecedent (what happened immediately before the behavior), the Behavior (the target behavior itself), and the Consequence (what happened immediately after the behavior). Consistent patterns across multiple A-B-C recordings help confirm the environmental variables maintaining the behavior.

The four primary functions hypothesized to maintain most challenging target behaviors are: **access to tangibles/activities** (e.g., hitting to get a toy), **escape/avoidance** (e.g., crying to get out of doing homework), **attention** (e.g., yelling to get a teacher's response), and **automatic reinforcement** (sensory stimulation that is internally pleasing, such as rocking or hand flapping). Once the function is identified, the intervention shifts from merely punishing the undesirable behavior to teaching a functionally equivalent, appropriate replacement behavior. This function-based approach is considered the gold standard in behavior modification.

The final and most rigorous component of the FBA is the functional analysis, which involves systematically manipulating the environmental variables (antecedents and consequences) in a

controlled setting to confirm the hypothesized function. By exposing the client to different experimental conditions--such as a condition designed to test for attention, one for escape, one for tangibles, and a control condition--the analyst can observe which condition reliably evokes the target behavior at the highest rate. This empirical confirmation provides the strongest evidence for the behavior's function, ensuring that the ensuing intervention is maximally effective and ethically sound.

Ethical Considerations in Target Behavior Modification

The selection and modification of a target behavior carry significant ethical responsibilities, particularly concerning client dignity, autonomy, and the use of the least restrictive procedures possible. Ethical guidelines mandate that behavior analysts prioritize interventions that promote skill development and independence over those that focus solely on suppression or punishment. The first ethical mandate is ensuring that the target behavior selected is indeed one that will improve the client's life experience and enhance their integration into the community, reinforcing the criterion of social validity.

Furthermore, informed consent is paramount. For adult clients, consent must be voluntary and fully informed regarding the procedures, risks, and potential benefits of modifying the target behavior. For clients who cannot provide their own consent, stringent protocols involving guardian consent and, where possible, client assent (non-verbal agreement or willingness to participate) must be followed. Ethical practice dictates transparency regarding the data collected and the progress made, ensuring that all stakeholders are continuously informed about the intervention's effectiveness and potential necessary changes.

The use of restrictive or aversive procedures to address target behaviors must be carefully weighed against less intrusive methods. The principle of the **least restrictive alternative** requires that behavior analysts exhaust all less intrusive, positive reinforcement-based strategies before considering any procedure that might impose significant restrictions on the individual's freedom or cause discomfort. If restrictive procedures are deemed necessary due to the severity or risk posed by the target behavior, they must be implemented only under strict supervision, with continuous monitoring of data, and with clear criteria for fading or discontinuing the procedure as soon as possible. Maintaining the client's dignity and ensuring humane treatment remains the highest ethical priority throughout the entire process of defining and modifying the target behavior.

Designing and Implementing Intervention Strategies

Once the target behavior has been operationally defined, measured, and its function determined through FBA, the final phase involves designing and implementing the intervention plan. This plan is a comprehensive blueprint detailing the specific procedures used to achieve the desired

behavioral outcome. Effective intervention typically involves a two-pronged approach: manipulating antecedents (preventing the behavior from occurring) and manipulating consequences (reinforcing desired replacement behaviors and minimizing reinforcement for the target behavior).

Intervention strategies aimed at increasing desirable target behaviors (deficits) rely heavily on **positive reinforcement**. This involves immediately providing a preferred item, activity, or praise following an instance of the desired behavior, thus increasing the likelihood of that behavior occurring again in the future. Techniques like shaping, which involves reinforcing successive approximations of the target behavior, and chaining, which breaks down complex skills into smaller, manageable steps, are commonly employed to build new skills systematically. Differential reinforcement procedures are particularly effective, where reinforcement is provided for the desired replacement behavior while withholding reinforcement for the challenging target behavior.

Strategies aimed at decreasing undesirable target behaviors (excesses) often involve **extinction**, which means completely eliminating the source of reinforcement that maintains the behavior. For example, if the function of hitting is attention, the extinction procedure would involve consistently providing no attention following the hitting behavior. Extinction is often paired with a strategy to reinforce an alternative, appropriate response that serves the same function (Differential Reinforcement of Alternative Behavior, or DRA). The implementation of the intervention must be characterized by high fidelity, meaning the procedures are carried out exactly as planned by all implementers, ensuring consistency across all environments and time periods to maximize the likelihood of achieving meaningful and lasting modification of the target behavior.

The final step in implementation involves rigorous ongoing monitoring and adjustment. The intervention plan is not static; it is a dynamic document that must be continuously evaluated against the measured data. If the data shows a desirable trend, the intervention continues; if progress stalls or the behavior worsens, the behavior analyst must immediately return to the FBA data to hypothesize why the intervention is failing and make necessary adjustments to the procedures. The entire cycle--assessment, definition, intervention, and evaluation--is repeated until the target behavior has been successfully modified to improve the client's overall competence and independence.